IRS 831(b) ENFORCEMENT

Atlanta's John Marshall Law School & Atlanta Law Group



IRS Judicial Weapons: Anti-Avoidance Rules

- Substance over form
- Business Purpose
- The Sham Transaction
- Economic Substance
- The Step Transaction



Substance Over Form

- The facts that make up the transaction is its "form".
- The "substance" of the transaction is what is actually below the surface of the facts, sometimes where such facts are created solely for such substance.
- This doctrine disregards the form in favor of the true substance to disallow the tax benefits generated by the artificial nature of the transaction.



- IRC 162 deduction for ordinary & necessary expenses, potentially including insurance.
- Assume a risk pool is used to create the insurance, & risk is being kidnapped.
- An oil and gas executive who spends 6 mos in Nigeria needs a kidnapping & ransom policy. A dentist in Boulder, Colorado does not.
- The form is the risk pool, the substance is an unnecessary expense creating a deduction.



The Economic Substance Doctrine

Now Codified

- Prong 1: The transaction is rationally related to a plausible non-tax business purpose
- Prong 2: The transaction results in a meaningful and appreciable enhancement in the net economic position of the taxpayer other than to reduce tax.
- Code: penalties as high as 75% and there is no way to use a tax opinion to use "reasonable cause" as a defense.

IRC 831(b) Example Loan Backs

- Insured deducts premium paid to CIC. The insured (or its owner) immediately borrows significant funds back out without paying taxes on the money.
- Rev. Rul. 2002-89, the IRS Manual, and case law indicate such CIC loan backs are at least subject to strict scrutiny, and may be prohibited under certain circumstances.
- The IRS has asked for comments on the facts & circumstances that would give rise to loan back determinations.
- This issue has come up as a focus in audits.
- IRS may challenge as an improper tax-free distribution.



IRC 831(b) Example Loan Backs

 Prong One: Hard to argue that the transaction is rationally related to a useful non-tax business purpose. If you needed the money enough to have it loaned out shortly after paying it, why did you make the premium payment to start with other than to get the tax deduction?

IRC 831(b) Example Loan Backs (4 of 4)

 Prong Two: Transaction appears that there is no meaningful enhancement in the **net economic position** of the taxpayer other than to reduce tax. Your position is identical before and after the transaction with respect to the loaned funds. The only difference is that you have deducted the premium.

IRS Statutory Weapons

- Listed Transaction Designation
- Transaction of Interest Designation
- Promoter Investigations
- List Maintenance Requests
- Criminal Investigations



Listed Transaction Designation

- IRS can designate a transaction as "listed" and trigger reporting requirements and potentially severe penalties for taxpayers and advisors.
- IRS rarely does this, so it is usually reserved for transactions that are done across the US among numerous taxpayers.
- IRS states its position in the listing notice, and judiciary has taken this designation seriously.

- In early 2000's IRS designated a captive variant structure as a listed transaction.
- The IRS eventually withdrew the listing on a go forward basis, apparently in part because the deal was not widespread enough.
- Given the popularity of 831(b) captives, and the promoter exams that are ongoing, it seems like only a matter of time until something becomes a listed transaction.



Transaction of Interest Designation

- IRS can put a transaction with certain attributes on a sort of "watch list" where the IRS thinks the transaction is abusive, but is not ready to "list" the transaction permanently.
- Transactions of interest have similar reporting and penalty attributes to listed transactions.
- It is up to taxpayers and advisors to keep up with what the IRS posts to this list. There is no ignorance defense.



 It would not be surprising to find a captive transaction that involves a captive being used as a tax deductible vehicle to fund some sort of investment, and either (a) severely overstates coverage costs, or (b) improperly distributes risk, as a transaction of interest.



Promoter Investigations

- If IRS finds several taxpayers who have a common advisor or pool that appear to be taking the same abusive activity, IRS may open a promoter examination of the advisor.
- If IRS determines the advisor is a promoter, IRS may penalize them as such at the close of the investigation.

IRC 831(b) Example (1 of 2)

IRS Personnel Statements Include:

- IRS planning on bringing "a great many" CIC cases
- IRS planning on "expanding" promoter exams
- IRS very interested in the "investments" as driver for CIC formation & operation
- IRS concerned with promotional material that focuses on tax benefits & investment return
- IRS hiring private sector forensic personnel required for ramping up caseload



IRC 831(b) Example (2 of 2)

- Forensic audits of taxpayers.
 - IRS will drill down deep into a case
 - Determining issues that should concern IRS
 - Common touch points across other cases
 - Specific professionals or risk pools in common
- Open 6700 promoter examinations of:
 - Risk Pools
 - CIC companies



List Maintenance Requests

- The IRS can request a list of all clients of an advisor, or pool participants if investigation is of a pool.
- Where IRS has found an offending taxpayer, this tool allows IRS to quickly locate a large number of potential taxpayers to audit that may have done the same thing.
- IRS will look for similar touch points among taxpayers to map out the web of promoters.



- IRS finds one captive that has risk distributed improperly in a pool.
- IRS will request the pool to provide a list of all participants, and then will audit some or all of the participants, to see if the pool has improperly risk distributed all its participant captives.



Criminal Investigations

- CID investigations can now progress simultaneously with civil promoter examinations.
- A promoter exam can be referred to CID for potential criminal prosecution.
- This is obviously reserved for the worst actors.
- To date, these cases appear to involve "pretend we are doing it right" discussions with taxpayers.



- Criminal warrants issued in cases in several states.
 - Risk Pools
 - Captive professionals
- Grand jury indictment in one advanced case.
 - Clients told better not to make claims
 - Promoters focused on tax savings (not insurance)



Dirty Dozen Listing

- Covering Ordinary or Implausible Risks
- Structured Maximized Premiums
- Poor Actuarial Substantiation
- Excessive Fees Charged to Unsophisticated Taxpayers



Senate Finance Committee

- Raise premium cap to \$2.2m but lose 831(b) qualification if no more than 20% of premium from one insured.
- Proposal tabled, while IRS investigates estate planning in captives.
- Sen. Grassley is a very serious opponent to abusive tax avoidance transactions.
- IRS will take investigation seriously.
- Legislation is likely to result to curb abuses.



Where is this Going? IRS Investigation Pattern Familiar

- First: targeted forensic audits
- Discover common denominators
- Begin 6700 promoter investigations
- Begin criminal investigations
- Broad based warnings to taxpayers
- We are here
- Issue broad based guidance
- Start broad audit program based on guidance



THE END



















